

BOARD OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

**Board Meeting
April 23, 2003
Baton Rouge, Louisiana
Summary of Motions**

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTION (miscellaneous capital additions):

- **A motion was made by Mr. Kleinpeter, seconded by Mr. Cornett to approve the miscellaneous capital addition application submitted by Carey Salt. Motion carried unanimously.**

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Friend to approve the February 26, 2003 C&I Board Minutes. Motion carried unanimously.**

QUALITY JOBS:

- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve all of the new applications. Motion carried unanimously.**

RESTORATION TAX ABATEMENT:

- **A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve the name change application submitted by 150 Baronne Street, LP. Motion carried unanimously.**
- **A motion was made by Mr. Cornett, seconded by Mr. Shaddock to approve both the new application submitted by Aaron D. & Joynel G. Picou and the renewal application submitted by Jim Meyer Construction Company. Motion carried unanimously.**

FILM & VIDEO:

- A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Lucas to approve all three applications. Motion carried 11-1 with Mr. Shaddock abstaining.

ENTERPRISE ZONE:

- A motion was made by Mr. Friend, seconded by Mr. Shaddock to deny the waiver of timely filing application submitted by Citizens Bank & Trust Company of Springhill. Motion carried unanimously.
- A motion was made by Mr. Kleinpeter, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve withdrawal of the application requesting a waiver of the advance notification timely filing rule submitted by The Bailey Hotel, LLC. Motion carried unanimously.
- A motion was made by Mr. Shaddock, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve the name change applications submitted by Aggreko, Inc., International Painting and Trus Joist MacMillan, LP. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Montelepre out of the meeting.
- A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Mr. Lucas to approve the contract cancellation submitted by Saiohm, Inc. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Montelepre out of the meeting.
- A motion was made by Mr. Kleinpeter, seconded by Mr. Cornett to approve the contract date change application submitted by Louisiana Generating, LLC. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Montelepre out of the meeting.
- A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Ms. Holyfield to approve the new application submitted by Diversified Foods & Seasonings, Inc. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Kleinpeter and Mr. Cornett out of the meeting.
- A motion was made by Mr. Shaddock, seconded by Mr. Montelepre to approve the remaining new applications. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Kleinpeter and Mr. Cornett out of the meeting.

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTION PROGRAM (new & additions):

- A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Vickers to defer the new application submitted by Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation for sixty days. Motion carried unanimously.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Shaddock, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve the remaining new applications. Motion carried unanimously.**
- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Friend to approve the change in ownership applications submitted by River Parish Ready-Mix, Choctaw, Inc., MHC Properties, LLC, Willamette Industries, and International Painting Corp. Motion carried unanimously.**
- **A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Mr. Kleinpeter to approve the name change application submitted by Steel Fabricators of Monroe, Inc. Motion carried unanimously.**

MISCELLANEOUS CAPITAL ADDITIONS:

- **A motion was made by Mr. Vickers, seconded by Mr. Burgess to approve the remaining new applications. Motion carried unanimously.**

NEW BUSINESS:

We will be holding an important policy & rules committee meeting in the near future to approve revisions, Enterprise Zone and Quality Jobs applications, and also a major project is to be announced by the general motors plant in Shreveport requiring special rules. The June meeting will be in Baton Rouge and the August meeting will be in Lafayette. Lafayette Economic Development Authority will sponsor the meeting.

Mr. Montelepre suggested the Policy & Rules Committee address our fee schedule and the cost of accounting to file certain fees such as the Advance Notification fee of \$100.00. Mr. Green reminded the board of his request to Mike Williams to provide a financial report not necessarily concerning where the fees come from but where they go.

Mr. Kleinpeter suggested adding colored sheets as dividers in the agenda.

Mr. Friend inquired about the inspections report being included in the agenda. Chairman Green stated that at the last meeting, he asked Mike Williams to include the inspections report.

Mr. Montelepre commented on the packets of rule changes he has received over the years; how he chooses to file them, and the benefits. He also suggested helping the new members by providing the same. Mr. Lucas suggested waiting until after the Policy & Rules Committee meeting, when the imposed new rules changes and amendments are implemented and approved by the board.

MEETING ADJOURNED: Meeting adjourned by Chairman Green at 3:15

C & I BOARD MEETING MINUTES
MONROE, LOUISIANA
APRIL 23, 2003

Board Members Present: Chairman Arthur D. Green, Leonard Kleinpeter, Gordon A. Burgess, John Friend, Harold L. Cornett, Richard L. Lucas, Philip Montelepre, C. Wade Shaddock, John G. Vickers, Linda Holyfield, Joe Tannehill, and Darryl Manning.

Board Members Absent: John R. "Red" Bourg, Sr., Christopher Coulon, Governor M.J. "Mike" Foster, Mayor Gerald M. Johnson, Rustin D. "Rusty" Johnson, Marjorie McKeithen, and Noel A. Murano.

Louisiana Economic Development Staff Present: Ed Baker, Marylyn Friedkin, John Jernigan, Laverne Jasek, Paul Adams and Gwen Brinkley.

Guest Present: Doug LeBleu, Time Resources; Don Allison, KPMG; Bob Adair, Entergy; David Wright, Carey Salt; Spencer Bernard, Heard, McElroy & Vestal, LLP; Christian Sheridan, T. Miller Firm/Television Broadcasting Network; Mike Morrow, Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation.

Call to Order: Chairman Arthur D. Green called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m. Chairman Green introduced the two newest members appointed to the board: Ms. Linda Holyfield, and Mr. Joe Tannehill.

Roll Call: Twelve board members were present at the time of roll call.

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTION (miscellaneous capital addition):

At this time, due to an emergency, Chairman Green asked the board to consider an application submitted by Carey Salt.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Kleinpeter, seconded by Mr. Cornett to approve the application. Motion carried unanimously.**

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- **A motion was by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Friend to approve the February 26, 2003 C & I Board minutes. Motion carried unanimously.**

QUALITY JOBS:

Ed Baker presented five new Quality Jobs applications.

Mr. Friend had the same question concerning the SIC codes for both the New Orleans Cold Storage & Warehouse, and the Thermo Credit, LLC applications. Mr. Friend suggested future applications reflect how the business qualifies for the Quality Jobs program. Ed Baker said, “New Orleans Cold Storage & Warehouse falls under the part of the law that requires 75% of the business be operated outside of the state. Mr. Friend also requested clarification on Thermo Credit’s description as a receivables factory company. Ed explained that Thermo Credit is a factoring organization focusing on telecommunications providing services throughout the United States. They have back office operations and will be headquartered in New Orleans. Darryl Manning stated that the miscellaneous business services for Quality Jobs covers back office operations.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve the new applications. Motion carried unanimously.**

STATE OF LOUISIANA
BOARD OF COMMERCE && INDUSTRY

Wednesday, April 23, 2003

QUALITY JOBS PROGRAM

Board Meeting - Application Summary

Application Number	Company Name	Parish	New Direct Jobs	Total Investment	Est'd 10 Year Gross Payroll	Benefit Rate Percent	Est'd 10 Year Payroll Credit/Rebate	Est'd State Sales/Use Tax Rebate	Est'd Local Sales/Use Tax Rebate	Est'd Net Direct State Benefit (1)	Const Jobs	Construction Payroll	SIC Code	NAICS Code
2002-0444	Diamond Data Systems, Inc.	Jefferson	70	\$1,000,000	\$9,625,000	5	\$481,250	\$26,000	\$30,875	\$634,375	10	\$350,000	7371	541511
2002-0175	Intier Automotive Interiors Of America, Inc.	Caddo	215	\$10,697,000	\$56,519,000	5	\$2,825,950	N/A	N/A	\$3,391,140	144	\$581,000	3363	331521
2002-0599	K-TEK, LLC	Ascension	22	\$1,300,000	\$4,499,767	5	\$224,988	\$20,000	\$22,500	\$289,986	50	\$125,000	3823	334513
2002-0359	New Orleans Cold Storage & Warehouse	Orleans	16	\$9,000,000	\$3,788,000	5	\$189,400	\$240,000	\$300,000	\$347,280	50	\$3,000,000	4222	49312
TOTALS	4		323	\$21,997,000	\$74,431,767		\$3,721,588	\$286,000	\$353,375	\$4,662,781	254	\$4,056,000		

RESTORATION TAX ABATEMENT:

Ed Baker presented one name change application.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve the name change application. Motion carried unanimously.**

CHANGE IN NAME ON CONTRACT

This abatement contract with the State of Louisiana was granted under Article VII, Part II, Section 21H of the Louisiana Constitution of 1974. This request is made in compliance with Article IV of the contract for the purpose of transferring the contract.

Staff recommends: Approval

Contract # 1993-04-0302

From: 150 Baronne Street LP

Ed Baker presented one new application and one renewal application.

Mr. Friend asked a question from page fifty-five under item four concerning the paying of ad valorem taxes. Ed indicated that the applicants have certified that they have not paid the ad valorem taxes. Mr. Friend also inquired about the second page of the application that indicates whether or not there will be gambling and asks about the environmental aspects. Ed's answer was that the applicant filed an old application, and that the application is for a home, therefore gaming would not apply.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Cornett, seconded by Shaddock to approve both the new application, and the renewal application. Motion carried unanimously.**

Staff recommends approval of the

NEW APPLICATION(S)

Application Number	Property Owner Project Location	Project Est'd Amount	Estimated Taxes Deferred	Perm Jobs	Const Jobs	Construction Payroll
1999-0138-21	Aaron D & Joyne! G. Picou 409 West Third Street Thibodaux	\$190,000	\$15,414	15	8	\$100,000 EZ QJ
1	Application	Totals	\$190,000	\$15,414	15 8	\$100,000

Staff recommends approval of the

CONTRACT RENEWAL(S)

Application Number	Property Owner Project Location	Project Est'd Amount	Estimated Taxes Deferred	Perm Jobs	Const Jobs	Construction Payroll
1996-0350-58	Jim Meyer Construction Company 120 Thomas Street E., Hammond Hammond	\$340,000	\$25,727	5	75	\$80,000 EZ QJ
1	Application	Totals	\$340,000	\$25,727	5 75	\$80,000

FILM AND VIDEO:

Marylyn Friedkin presented the applications for the Film and Video program. After much confusion concerning whether there were two applications or three and very little clarification on what the applications were actually pertaining to, the board considered deferring the applications. Marylyn moved on to the third application submitted by TFN Productions, LLC. The project is a television (cable) broadcasting network. This is a football network, which is a twenty-four hour seven days a week television network broadcasting football games and football related programming to a national audience using cable and satellite delivery systems. Chairman Green asked if Marylyn was describing a film or video, and if it's a television broadcasting network, does it fit the Film and Video program? Mr. Christian Sheridan commented on the statute as it refers to the motion picture tax credit mechanism, but is clearly defined to include a variety of television productions, film productions and other types of displays.

Mr. Montelepre commented on the provisions stipulated by the board when the decision was made to change the board's meeting dates from every month to every other month. The information was to be submitted in a timely manner for the board members to review in order to guarantee a more efficient and organized meeting. The board members were in agreement that a representative for the Film & Video program should be in attendance. Marylyn informed the board that Mark Smith needed to be in Baton Rouge for another meeting. She also stated that Film & Video is handled differently from the other programs and that most of the time when the agenda is mailed out Mark Smith doesn't even know if he will have anything to submit, which is the reason for the late submissions.

Mr. Kleinpeter asked if the Football Network was deferred from the Bond Commission or withdrawn from the agenda, or even if there was any connection. Mr. Sheridan said, "There is a connection, the Football Network is working with the Governor's office and Louisiana Department of Economic Development to make final arrangements to come to Baton Rouge. Before it comes together, there are a number of very time sensitive dates over the next month including the Bond Commission meeting in May. We are hoping to begin making investments in Louisiana very soon. However, I want to make it clear, there are still some loose ends that hopefully in the next couple of weeks will be tied down. Once the loose ends are tied down, they will begin investing money in the state of Louisiana".

Chairman Green stated that though he shares the same views as Mr. Montelepre, he would like to suggest approving the Film & Video applications in hopes that Mark Smith would be at the next meeting to explain why Film & Video is so last minute.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Lucas to approve all three applications. Motion carried 11-1 with Mr. Shaddock abstaining from the vote.**

Mr. Kleinpeter asked how Mark submits his information. Marylyn informed the board of the required deadlines for submitting all information to be included in the agenda in order to get the information to the board members three weeks before the meeting.

**FILM AND VIDEO PROGRAM:
Board Agenda
April 23, 2003**

Application Number: 2003-0010

Production Company: Pastime Productions, L.L.C.

Project: Feature Film (“Mr. 3000”)

Dates of Filming: April 17, 2003 to July 9, 2003

Film Budget: \$30,000,000.00

Louisiana Budget: \$30,000,000.00

Story Synopsis:

A 3000 hit baseball star comes out of retirement when he learns he really only had 2997 hits.

**FILM AND VIDEO PROGRAM:
Board Agenda
April 23, 2003**

Application Number: 2003-0011

Production Company: Playmakers of New Orleans, L.L.C.

Project: Feature Film (“Playmaker”)

Dates of Filming: April 10, 2003 to May 29, 2003

Film Budget: \$7,000,000.00

Louisiana Budget: \$7,000,000.00

Story Synopsis:

This is an urban contemporary adaptation of Robert Redford’s classic “The Natural”. It is both a romantic and stylized thriller that explores the real life, behind the scenes relationship between some of today’s top NFL stars and the urban underworld.

**FILM AND VIDEO PROGRAM:
Board Agenda
April 23, 2003**

Application Number: 2003-0012

Production Company: TFN Productions, LLC

Project: Television (Cable) broadcasting network

Dates of Activity: June 2003 – 2018

Television Project Budget: \$190 Million

Louisiana Budget: \$90 Million (Estimate for a full production year)

Project Synopsis:

The Football Network (TFN) is a 24/7 television network broadcasting football games and football related programming to a national audience using cable and satellite delivery systems.

ENTERPRISE ZONE:

Marylyn Friedkin presented two applications for waiver of timely filing. Marylyn stated that the contracts have been issued, and the contract holders are requesting the waiver because the employees were hired nine months prior to the filing of the advance notification. Mr. Lucas read from the advance notification timely filing 718 and the rules passed by the policy & rules committee last year. He read that hiring of the employees does not determine when the contract begins. As read from the Enterprise Zone Rules; an advance notification received by the office of commerce & industry after the beginning of the project's construction will obligate the company to file a written request, it says construction, it doesn't say employees, and has nothing to do with the hiring of the employees. The rules passed in July and approved by the board in August state, that the beginning of the project shall mean the first day on which foundation was started, or where foundations are unnecessary, the first day on which installation of the facility begins or the first day that materials or equipment purchased for that project are received. Mr. Lucas stated, "There is obviously construction of the bank and that's when the project date began and they were in compliance when the advance notification received in 2001. The hiring of the employees is not important". The paragraph goes on to say, where there is no construction, the first day of which a new hire is made in connection with the project shall be the beginning of the project construction. According to Mr. Lucas, there was construction and when the construction began it was required that the advance notification be filed prior to this and it wasn't. Marylyn Friedkin interjected, "The Enterprise Zone is a job incentive program, if you don't hire the people from the start of the project, then you can't participate in the program".

Spencer Bernard of Heard McElroy & Vestal, LLP, representative for Citizens Bank & Trust Company of Springhill stated, "The contract effective date which is normally the date of the advance notification is filed prior to construction, which it was. The advance notification was timely filed before construction began". He also commented, "The project is a renovation of a historical structure. The Bank moved into the area and began hiring people in July and August of 2000, and rented a temporary facility in the fall of 2000 in anticipation of construction that began in July, 2001. The company maintains that the advance notification date is not the appropriate date to use as the contract effective date for the purposes of starting our beginning point. Five or six employees were hired prior to the advance notification date to work in the temporary facility. The company is requesting the contract effective date be August, 2000 when the actual hiring of employees began. Chairman Green stated, "It seems to me you are asking us to say any time you hire a new employee, could be the date of some future construction project, which is a pretty wide variance of the rules, this board was criticized last year by the legislative auditor committee for waiving some of those rules". Mr. Bernard commented that the point is very valid and he guess he was leaning more in that direction, but by virtue of that fact, this whole thing is part of an expansion program. Chairman Green asked, "What would have happened to the employees if the company changed their mind and did not begin construction". Mr. Bernard answered, "The employees would have very likely been terminated, because they were hired to service the new location". Mr. Lucas stated, "What your application is requesting is a waiver of timely filing. I believe the waiver is eligible, but whether the employees qualify or not is to be determined by the inspector, and they may not, but the waiver of timely filing appears to be within our rules as they stand because the construction didn't begin until after the advance notification. But if you are

requesting that we change our rules to say the beginning date is when you start hiring employees, we can't do that. The application is a request for timely filing".

Darryl Manning attempted to put the issue in perspective by stating, "The problem we have is that the program requires that they have to create five new jobs during the contract period. If you look at section 735 of the rules; the requirement is a minimum of five new jobs must be generated within the first two years of the contract period. The contract doesn't begin until the advance notification date is filed. That's the date that begins the contract, either that date or a later date if the company requests a later date. The problem you have here is that the jobs were created and the people were hired before the advance notification was filed, and therefore, they weren't created within the two years of the contract date, but actually before the contract. What they are asking is for the board to back up the beginning of the contract beyond the date when the advance notice was filed so they can pick up these employees for these jobs that were created before the advance notice. Otherwise, they're not going to meet the necessary requirements of meeting the five new jobs within the contract period". Mr. Lucas asked, "If they hired five new people after the advance notification, would they qualify"? Darryl Manning's answer, "That is correct, it is not really an issue of whether the advance was filed before the construction, but whether they created five jobs during the contract period. Mr. Lucas inquired if they can still do that, and Darryl said, "Yes, they still have the potential to do that. But what they are trying to do is ask the board to make the contract date prior to the advance notice so they can claim these five jobs that were created before the advance notice was filed.

Mr. Bernard stated, "We are talking two dates; the advance notification date and the contract effective date. The effective date is when you begin counting employees. The effective date of the advance notification is either the day that the advance notice was received by the Business Incentives Division or the date that construction begins on the project shown on the advance notice but not earlier than the date received unless a waiver of timely filing is approved by the board. The waiver of timely filing is what we are asking you to approve".

Chairman Green cautioned the board members about the criticism by the legislative auditors. Mr. Montelepre stated, "There are only two ways we can approve the waiver, unless we assume it doesn't need to be waived because it applies and the criteria is met or the company made a mistake beyond their control, or Marylyn and her people made a mistake beyond their control". But, he doesn't see any of the criteria being met for a waiver". Mr. Lucas stated, "They did file the notification timely, but the thing is their employees are not eligible. They were hired prior to the contract date". Chairman Green asked for a motion to either grant the waiver to deny the request.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Friend, seconded by Mr. Shaddock to deny the request for a waiver of timely filing. Motion carried unanimously.**

I. Waiver of Timely Filing

Contract 2001-0262

Citizens Bank & Trust Company of Springhill

A contract was issued. The contract holder is requesting the Board to waive the Advance Notification, Timely Filing Rule because the employees were hired nine months, September, 2000 prior to the filing of the Advance Notification.

Employees hired	9/2000
Advance Notification received	7/25/2001
Application filed	7/8/2002
Board approved application and the Contract was issued.	8/21/2002

Marylyn stated that the request to waive the Advance Notification, Timely Filing Rule submitted by The Bailey Hotel, LLC is being withdrawn by the applicant.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Kleinpeter, seconded by Mr. Vickers to allow the application to be withdrawn. Motion carried unanimously.**

Advance Notification 2002-0365, “The Bailey Hotel LLC”

The Company is requesting the Board to waive the Advance Notification, Timely Filing Rule.

Began renovating a historical hotel	5/2001
Completed renovations	10/2001
Installed video poker machines	8/14/2002
Withdrew video poker license	11/5/2002
Advance Notification received	11/20/2002
Application filed	03/03/2003

Marylyn presented four contract name changes.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Shaddock, seconded by Mr. Vickers to approve all of the contract name changes. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Montelepre out of the meeting.**

II. Contract Name Change

Contract 2000-0095	Aggreko Inc. Company is requesting a name change. To: Aggreko LLC
Contract 1994-0493	International Painting Corporation Company is requesting a name change. To: International Piping Systems
Contract 1997-0552	International Painting Corporation Company is requesting a name change. To: International Piping Systems
Contract 93248	TrusJoist MacMillan, LP Company is requesting a name change. To: Weyerhaeuser Company

Marylyn presented contract #1997-0492 for cancellation because the company did not meet the hiring requirements.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Mr. Lucas to approve the contract cancellation. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Montelepre out of the meeting.**

III. Contract Cancellation

Contract 1997-0492	Saiohm Inc. Company did not meet the hiring requirements.
--------------------	--

Marylyn presented contract #1998-0501 for contract date changes.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Kleinpeter, seconded by Mr. Cornett to approve the contract date changes. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Montelepre out of the meeting.**

IV. Contract Date Changes

Contract 1998-0501

Louisiana Generating LLC

Company is requesting changes in the Start and Ending dates.

Original Contract Dates 1/10/2000 to 6/30/2001

Requesting 4/15/2000 to 4/14/2002

Marylyn presented thirty-six new applications.

Mr. Friend questioned Diversified foods involvement in gaming and does not understand the recurring question concerning gaming. Chairman Green stated that the board discussed the matter at length before Mr. Friend was a member of the board. Mr. Shaddock stated that he was also going to question the application, but after reading the rules of Title XIII chapter 3, subchapter D which states that any entity that has received or applied for a license to conduct gaming shall be ineligible to receive a contract or any tax exemption credit. Paragraph three says that an entity that is owned, and controlled or managed by a company that has received or applied for a license to conduct gaming may apply for a contract for tax exemption granted by Commerce and Industry if the business operated by the entity is not related to and does not provide support to the gaming activity. Darryl confirmed the exception to the rule which allows the board to provide tax exemptions for those operations that don't have anything to do with gaming operations even though the owner of that business may have some interest in gaming. This particular application is for a manufacturing facility that makes food products to sale to other restaurant companies. Mr. Montelepre reminded the board of a restaurant renovation in New Orleans a couple of months ago where the owner also had bars with video poker machines, but it was decided that it was too far removed or not related closely enough. Mr. Montelepre also stated, "In theory, if you go back far enough in human history, we are all related in this room as brothers and sisters, but how far back do you want to go and how far removed do we have to be? That is the reason for this boards existence, is to use its discretion. It seems to me that it's such a small percentage of the common owner, Mr. Copeland's taxable income. I might add that this company predates gambling in Louisiana. Diversified Foods has been around since the beginning of Popeye's. When Mr. Copeland lost his interest in Popeye's he was smart enough to recruit lawyers and accountants to separate the food from the operations, and he kept the contract that provides most of the seasoning for all these chicken outlets. I believe this is far enough removed from this company that we should not consider gambling as a problem".

- **A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Ms. Holyfield to approve the Diversified Foods & Seasoning, Inc. application. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Kleinpeter and Mr. Cornett out of the meeting.**
- **A motion was made by Mr. Shaddock, seconded by Mr. Montelepre to approve the remaining Enterprise Zone applications. Motion carried unanimously with Mr. Kleinpeter and Mr. Cornett out of the meeting.**

BOARD OF COMMERCE && INDUSTRY
Enterprise Zone Program
Summary of Enterprise Zone Applications
Wednesday, April 23, 2003

Staff recommends approval of the following NEW APPLICATIONS:

APPL NUMBER	COMPANY	LOCATION	INVESTMENT	ITE	TAX RELIEF **STATE	LOCAL	NEW PERMANENT* JOBS	SALARIES	CONSTRUCTION JOBS	SALARIES	SIC
2002-0487	Academy Louisiana Co. LLC dba Academy Sports	Kenner	\$2,360,665	No	\$277,500	\$54,000	93	\$4,778,000	100	\$500,000	5491
2000-0394	Advanced Injection Molding, LLC	Bossier City	\$175,663	Yes	\$2,442	\$2,747	9	\$507,920	0	\$0	3089
1999-0351	AFS Logistics, Inc.	Shreveport	\$0	No	\$175,000	\$0	70	\$3,526,360	0	\$0	4731
2002-0096	At-bar, Inc.	Bernice	\$548,302	No	\$104,000	\$12,000	68	\$1,031,886	81	\$166,709	5812
2001-0545	Autumn Leaves Nursing & Rehabilitation Center	Winnfield	\$7,400,000	No	\$235,000	\$150,000	34	\$1,973,920	100	\$2,800,000	80510
2000-0183	Barber Brothers Contracting Co., LLC	Geismar	\$3,816,266	Yes	\$110,552	\$94,880	18	\$682,969	35	\$700,000	2951
2002-0470	Disaster Restoration Dry Cleaning, LLC	Terrytown	\$125,125	No	\$10,905	\$4,043	3	\$216,000	6	\$40,000	7212
1998-0373	Diversified Foods & Seasonings Inc	Madisonville	\$15,759,000	Yes	\$307,500	\$270,000	27	\$2,330,000	100	\$3,745,000	2099
2002-0006	Dolphin Services, Inc.	Houma	\$987,362	No	\$334,333	\$32,999	122	\$16,100,000	0	\$0	2130
2002-0295	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 4746	Lafayette	\$68,804	No	\$15,238	\$2,738	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0292	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 4848	Houma	\$61,902	No	\$14,767	\$2,550	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0285	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 4860	Morgan City	\$67,326	No	\$15,047	\$2,547	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0294	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 5087	Krotz Springs	\$65,657	No	\$14,990	\$2,365	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0288	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 5089	Sulphur	\$66,665	No	\$15,014	\$2,985	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0290	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 5103	Houma	\$101,878	No	\$15,575	\$3,460	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0287	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 5249	Bossier City	\$644,641	No	\$38,286	\$29,009	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0303	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 5335	West Monroe	\$544,350	No	\$34,274	\$29,939	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0298	Family Dollar Stores of LA Inc. 5140	Shreveport	\$84,138	No	\$15,565	\$3,256	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0299	Family Dollar Stores of La Inc. 5253	Shreveport	\$107,662	No	\$15,318	\$2,995	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2002-0300	Family Dollar Stores of La Inc. 5304	Shreveport	\$69,905	No	\$15,224	\$2,594	5	\$375,000	20	\$4,000	5331
2001-0031	Guide Louisiana, LLC	Monroe	\$20,468,317	Yes	\$720,856	\$720,756	18	\$3,273,000	20	\$800,000	3647
2001-0505	Gulf Island, LLC	Houma	\$9,201,567	Yes	\$905,000	\$253,000	272	\$27,576,870	0	\$0	3441A
2001-0078	Hotel Royal LLC dba Rue Royal Inn	New Orleans	\$1,100,000	No	\$55,000	\$44,250	8	\$931,000	27	\$215,000	7011D
1998-0428	Kairos Corporation	Shreveport	\$724,000	Yes	\$35,862	\$26,902	7	\$991,760	14	\$86,000	2499
1998-0139	Khan Properties Inc.	New Orleans	\$7,950,000	No	\$150,000	\$95,000	28	\$1,416,460	35	\$2,200,000	7011D
2000-0074	LKI Enterprises, Inc. dba Superlift Suspension	West Monroe	\$2,062,138	Yes	\$60,485	\$22,482	17	\$1,545,795	83	\$383,500	3465
1999-0028	Louisiana Acquisitions Corp Hotel Interco	New Orleans	\$12,000,000	No	\$370,430	\$15,300	10	\$949,000	50	\$3,868,595	7011
2001-0272	Martco Partnership	Chopin	\$10,413,702	Yes	\$249,500	\$107,500	31	\$2,480,000	30	\$750,000	2436
2002-0412	Ole South Land & Dev, LLC dba Backyard Burgers	Lake Charles	\$920,804	No	\$111,184	\$6,329	70	\$3,850,000	50	\$258,000	5812
2003-0074	Petroleum Service Corporation	Baton Rouge	\$0	No	\$50,000	\$0	20	\$3,411,119	0	\$0	4491
1999-0283	Ron's Warehouse Furniture, Inc.	Winnsboro	\$0	No	\$44,258	\$0	31	\$1,508,814	0	\$0	5722
2002-0007	Southport, Inc.	Houma	\$88,015	No	\$2,590	\$2,912	97	\$12,123,000	0	\$0	3448
2000-0548	Suntory Water Group, Inc.	Kentwood	\$3,549,575	No	\$60,558	\$60,072	5	\$873,000	8	\$34,500	2086

* Represents 5 Years
** Credits Taken Over 10

1998-0139	Khan Properties Inc.	New Orleans	\$7,950,000	No	\$150,000	\$95,000	28	\$1,416,460	35	\$2,200,000	70	11D
2000-0074	LKI Enterprises, Inc. dba Superlift Suspension	West Monroe	\$2,062,138	Yes	\$60,485		\$22,482	17	\$1,545,795	83	\$383,500	3465
1999-0028	Louisiana Acquisitions Corp Hotel Interco	New Orleans	\$12,000,000	No	\$370,430		\$15,300	10	\$949,000	50	\$3,868,595	7011
2001-0272	Martco Partnership	Chopin	\$10,413,702	Yes	\$249,500	\$107,500	31	\$2,480,000	30	\$750,000	2436	
2002-0412	Ole South Land & Dev, LLC dba Backyard Burgers	Lake Charles			\$920,804	No	\$111,184	\$6,329	70	\$3,850,000	50	\$258,000 5812
2003-0074	Petroleum Service Corporation	Baton Rouge	\$0	No	\$50,000	\$0	20	\$3,411,119	0	\$0	4491	
1999-0283	Ron's Warehouse Furniture, Inc.	Winnsboro	\$0	No	\$44,258	\$0	31	\$1,508,814	0	\$0	5722	
2002-0007	Southport, Inc.	Houma	\$88,015	No	\$2,590	\$2,912	97	\$12,123,000	0	\$0	3448	
2000-0548	Suntory Water Group, Inc.	Kentwood	\$3,549,575	No	\$60,558	\$60,072	5	\$873,000	8	\$34,500	2086	

* Represents 5 Years

** Credits Taken Over 10

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTION

John Jernigan presented thirty-six new applications but requested the board hear Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation first. Mike Morrow, representative from Kaiser addressed the board by opening with a discussion summarizing the chapter 11 bankruptcy filed February 12, 2002. Mr. Morrow stated, "The 2002 taxes are pre-petition obligations that cannot be paid under Federal Bankruptcy laws until approval of the plan reorganization is made. The 2003 taxes are post petition obligations that will be paid timely and in full when they are due. Both the real property taxes and the personal property taxes have a secured lien. The secured claims were paid in full when the court approved the plan of reorganization plus interest. There is an argument out there that the lien against the personal property taxes may not attach prior to the petition date. If that is the case, the argument is invalid. The claim moves from secure status to priority status. We fully expect secure and priority claims will be paid in full. The difference is secure claims are paid in full at the time of reorganization with interest from the petition date. Priority claims can be paid out over a six year period, but they do not accrue interest paid from the petition date to the approval date of the plan of reorganization but will accrue interest from that date until they are actually paid".

Chairman Green asked if it could be as long as six years before the property taxes are paid. Mr. Morrow interjected, "When the argument was made, the personal property lien does not attach prior to the petition date. Without success of the argument, which our bankruptcy attorney doesn't feel is a strong argument, it move from secure status to a priority status, which means that we have the option of paying the 2002 personal property taxes out over six years plus interest over those six years. Chairman Green asked, "Wouldn't that create severe problems for the School Board and what have you in that parish"? Mr. Morrow answered, "Yes, temporarily". Mr. Lucas asked Mr. Morrow to emphasize to the board that bankruptcy court doesn't allow you to pay those taxes. Mr. Morrow answered, "That is correct, we are forbidden by law from making those payments without specific approval from the bankruptcy court. Chairman Green stated, "So, the issue here is the taxes not being payable because of the bankruptcy court. Are you asking us to approve an entirely separate contract". Chairman Green reminded the board of a letter sent by the St. James Parish Assessor asking the board to disapprove the new application. Mr. Burgess indicated that he was in full support of the assessor.

Darryl Manning asked when Kaiser normally receives their property tax bill. Mr. Morrow answered, "The taxes are due by December 31st. The bill is received in October or November". Mr. Manning stated, "I don't understand the argument supporting the 2002 property taxes being pre-petitioned when the petition was filed February, 2002 and yet you never pay the property taxes until the end of the year anyway. How is it those property taxes were due and payable prior to the date you filed for bankruptcy"? Mr. Manning asked if there was an order from the Bankruptcy Court directing you not to pay these taxes. Mr. Manning stated that he was not convinced that these are pre-petitioned taxes.

Mr. Montelepre stated, "We are talking about a ten year property tax exemption. I wonder whether we have to decide this today or two months from today. I'd like to know when this plan of reorganization might be approved. Mr. Morrow answered that the goal is for mid next year to have the plan approved.

Mr. Green suggested deferring the application for lack of sufficient information and supporting documentation. A request was made by Darryl Manning for a legal analysis verifying pre-petition taxes, and copies of the motions and objections that were filed. A request was also made for the St. James Tax Assessor, and Legal counsel for Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation to attend the June meeting. Mr. Burgess commented that the taxes are still delinquent. He also asked who the interest was being paid to. The answer to his question was no one. Darryl agreed that if the 2002 property taxes are pre-petition taxes, they are not allowed under Bankruptcy laws to pay those taxes. His only issue is to see the legal analysis to determine if the property taxes are in fact pre-petition taxes. Mr. Lucas felt that if they comply with all the courts requirements, the board should be very cautious of adding an additional burden.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Vickers to defer the application submitted by Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation for at least sixty days. Motion carried unanimously.**

John Jernigan presented the remaining new Industrial Tax Exemption applications.

Mr. Lucas asked what grain dust pellets were. Mr. Jernigan informed him that they recover the dust from the grain that is stored there and loaded on barges, and then liquids are added to make food products for animals.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Shaddock, seconded by Mr. Vickers, to approve the remaining applications. Motion carried unanimously.**

Mr. Montelepre asked Mr. Jernigan what the fee for filing is. Mr. Jernigan responded that the minimum fee is \$200.00, the maximum is \$5000.00. The fee is determined by the amount of the investment and varies from parish to parish.

Alphabetical Listing
Board of Commerce & Industry
Wednesday, April 23, 2003

ECONOMIC IMPACT TAX RELIEF

Appl No	Company Name	Late Filing	Location	Parish	SC Recommended	SC Amount	Obsolete Amount	Ineligible Amount	Perm Jobs	Permanent 10 Yr Payroll	Const Jobs	Local Sales Tax	State Sales Tax	Est'd 10Year Prop Tax Rlf	Type	SIC
1	2003-8008	Acadiana Plastics Manufacturing		New Iberia	Iberia	\$827,763	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$33,111	\$33,111	\$93,786	A	3089
2	2001-0415	Alma Plantation, LLC		Lakeland	Pointe Coupee	\$3,960,835	\$0	\$0	1	\$233,460	41	\$83,815	\$121,912	\$332,314	A	2061
3	2001-0495	Basell USA Inc		Westlake	Calcasieu	\$1,826,399	\$388,840	\$0	0		29	\$69,683	\$58,680	\$308,844	A	2821
4	2001-0496	Basell USA Inc		Westlake	Calcasieu	\$1,773,710	\$459,293	\$0	0		29	\$71,364	\$60,096	\$299,934	A	2821
5	2002-0148	Chalmette Refining, L.L.C.		Chalmette	St. Bernard	\$4,357,005	\$289,756	\$0	0	\$0	25	\$46,000	\$36,800	\$750,276	A	32411
6	2002-0403	Cuming Insulation Corp.		New Iberia	Iberia	\$231,799	\$0	\$0	7	\$2,042,880	15	\$8,361	\$8,361	\$26,263	A	3086
7	2001-0556	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.		St. Gabriel	Iberville	\$2,087,484	\$473,755	\$0	0		18	\$46,937	\$51,199	\$275,965	A	4911
8	2001-0557	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.		West Feliciana	West Feliciana	\$19,975,818	\$1,063,721	\$0	0		88	\$437,744	\$437,744	\$1,807,812	A	4911
9	2001-0554	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.		Westwego	Jefferson	\$5,773,463	\$1,483,149	\$0	0		70	\$106,085	\$89,335	\$831,377	A	4911
10	2003-8005	Foremost Dairies, Inc.		Shreveport	Caddo	\$5,168,710	\$45,577	\$0	0	\$0	120	\$115,411	\$108,622	\$1,198,624	A	2026
11	2003-8009	General Motors Corp		Shreveport	Caddo	\$134,702,500	\$0	\$0	2547	\$940,632,570	1800	\$5,720,683	\$5,384,172	\$31,237,510	A	3711
12	2003-8003	General Motors Corporation		Shreveport	Caddo	\$1,983,555	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	10	\$78,150	\$73,553	\$459,986	N	3711
13	2003-8006	Guide Louisiana, LLC		Monroe	Ouachita	\$17,166,092	\$145,884	\$0	18	\$7,853,760	0	\$686,644	\$686,644	\$2,739,708	A	3647
14	2001-0505	Gulf Island, LLC		Houma	Terrebonne	\$4,045,711	\$260,000	\$0	119	\$39,064,130	0	\$159,393	\$150,017	\$560,331	A	3441A
15	1999-0057	Heartwood Lumber Company Inc		Pearl River	St. Tammany	\$395,296	\$0	\$0	0		14	\$17,304	\$14,572	\$103,607	N	2426
16	2003-8001	Heartwood Lumber Company Inc		Pearl River	St. Tammany	\$636,198	\$0	\$0	16	\$4,310,720	14	\$27,464	\$23,128	\$166,747	N	2426
17	2003-8002	Heartwood Lumber Company Inc		Pearl River	St. Tammany	\$177,984	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	14	\$5,699	\$4,799	\$46,650	N	2426
18	2003-8007	Hydril Company		Westwego	Jefferson	\$116,804	\$0	\$0	5	\$1,802,900	0	\$5,548	\$4,672	\$16,820	A	3533
19	2002-0175	Innertech - Shreveport		Shreveport	Caddo	\$9,734,736	\$0	\$962,264	195	\$61,306,050	144	\$360,698	\$339,480	\$2,480,634	N	3363
20	2001-0180	International Piping Systems, LLC		Port Allen	West Baton Rouge	\$1,319,975	\$0	\$0	5	\$1,641,350	10	\$53,995	\$43,196	\$146,979	A	3479
21	2001-0519	Intralox, Inc.		Harahan	Jefferson	\$6,431,427	\$143,785	\$0	27	\$9,735,660	4	\$293,498	\$247,157	\$926,125	A	3556
22	2001-0542	Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp		Gramercy	St. James	\$7,004,480	\$0	\$0	0		25	\$69,148	\$92,198	\$1,115,813	A	2819
23	2002-0089	Kerotest Manufacturing Corp.		Mansura	Avoyelles	\$3,475,013	\$0	\$0	6	\$1,969,620	5	\$136,643	\$136,643	\$312,751	A	3494
24	2002-0023	Label Concepts LLC		Parks	St. Martin	\$159,185	\$0	\$18,013	4	\$1,043,520	10	\$7,525	\$6,689	\$29,497	A	2759
25	2002-0038	Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC		Garyville	St. John the Baptist	\$1,525,050	\$0	\$0	0		11	\$48,820	\$48,820	\$289,912	A	2911
26	2002-0039	Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC		Garyville	St. John the Baptist	\$1,716,655	\$0	\$0	0		52	\$15,073	\$15,073	\$326,336	A	2911
27	2001-0272	Martco Limited Partnership		Chopin	Natchitoches	\$8,557,708	\$1,778,107	\$0	0		55	\$189,176	\$302,682	\$1,259,695	A	2436
28	2003-8004	Martco Limited Partnership		LeMoyen	St. Landry	\$10,870,390	\$64,874	\$0	7	\$1,885,940	74	\$138,981	\$308,848	\$1,068,559	A	2421
29	2002-0106	Mid South Extrusion, Inc.		Monroe	Ouachita	\$1,194,720	\$0	\$0	10	\$2,918,400	0	\$47,401	\$47,401	\$190,677	A	3081
30	2001-0527	Monsanto Company		Luling	St. Charles	\$11,301,743	\$1,366,053	\$0	0	\$214,935	100	\$214,935	\$214,935	\$1,992,497	A	2879
31	1999-0002	Ondeo Nalco Co		Garyville	St. John the Baptist	\$1,027,954	\$0	\$0	0		35	\$24,946	\$24,946	\$195,414	A	2834
32	2002-0114	Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company		Pineville	Rapides	\$55,680,457	\$994,265	\$0	0	\$0	250	\$1,262,756	\$1,262,756	\$9,732,944	A	2841
33	2000-0098	Stolthaven New Orleans, LLC		Braithwaite	Plaquemines	\$60,000,000	\$0	\$0	52	\$13,255,320	365	\$652,737	\$670,316	\$5,772,000	N	2079
34	2000-0548	Suntory Water Group, Inc.		Kentwood	Tangipahoa	\$2,880,960	\$0	\$1,221,470	0		15	\$175,554	\$140,443	\$493,552	A	2086
35	2001-0509	The Loma Company		Lafayette	Lafayette	\$236,692	\$0	\$0	0		3	\$9,385	\$9,385	\$27,953	A	3081
36	2001-0419	Zen-noh Grain Corporation		Convent	St. James	\$581,051	\$0	\$0	3	\$700,380	8	\$11,474	\$15,298	\$92,561	A	2048
Applications 4/21/2003	36	TOTALS				\$388,905,322	\$8,957,059	\$2,201,747	3,022	\$1,090,396,660	3,453	\$11,432,141	\$11,473,683	\$67,710,453		

Mr. Jernigan presented six changes in ownership applications.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Montelepre, seconded by Mr. Friend to approve all six applications for changes in ownership. Motion carried unanimously.**

Mr. Jernigan presented one name change application.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Burgess, seconded by Mr. Kleinpeter to approve the name change application. Motion carried unanimously.**

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTION (miscellaneous capital additions):

Laverne Jasek presented the remaining miscellaneous capital addition applications. Mr. Lucas asked when Columbian Chemicals Company located in Centerville. Mr. Holyfield's answer was about six years.

- **A motion was made by Mr. Vickers, seconded by Mr. Burgess to approve all of the miscellaneous capital addition applications. Motion carried unanimously.**

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTIONS
Alphabetical Listing
Board of Commerce && Industry
Wednesday, April 23, 2003

Staff RECOMMENDS APPROVAL of the following MISCELLANEOUS CAPITAL ADDITIONS

Staff RECOMMENDS APPROVAL of the following MISCELLANEOUS CAPITAL ADDITIONS										ECONOMIC IMPACT				TAX RELIEF			
	Appl No	Company Name	Late Filing	Location	Parish	SC Recommended	Obsolete Amount	Ineligible Amount	Perm Jobs	Permanent 10 Yr Payroll	Const Jobs	Local Sales Tax	State Sales Tax	Est'd 10Year Prop Tax Rlf	Type	SIC	
1	2002-9034	American Melamine		Westwego	Jefferson	\$793,858	\$21,400	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$24,999	\$21,051	\$114,316	M	3559G	
2	2002-9060	Balmar, LLC		Lafayette	Lafayette	\$118,015	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$4,131	\$4,721	\$13,937	M	2992	
3	2002-9057	Baroid Drilling Fluids, A Div Of Halliburton Eng. Serv.		Fouchon	Lafourche	\$445,231	\$46,498	\$0	12	\$6,931,440	10	\$12,625	\$12,625	\$59,149	M	2899	
4	2002-9071	BASF Corporation		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,579,230	\$397,785	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$101,393	\$90,127	\$645,214	M	2869	
5	2002-9072	BASF Corporation		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,898,291	\$515,198	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$140,131	\$124,561	\$690,169	M	2869	
6	2002-9086	BASF Corporation		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,941,415	\$181,701	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$140,618	\$124,994	\$721,847	M	2869	
7	2002-9087	BASF Corporation		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,817,100	\$210,223	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$105,074	\$93,399	\$678,729	M	2869	
8	2002-9051	Bercen, Cin. A Division Of Crankston		Denham Springs	Livingston	\$800,216	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	5	\$40,111	\$32,009	\$156,042	M	2899	
9	2001-9453	Borden Chemical, Inc.	4Yrs/5Yrs	Geismar	Ascension	\$777,313	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	50	\$34,979	\$31,093	\$102,839	M	2899	
10	2002-9065	Carey Salt Company		Louisa	St. Mary	\$578,516	\$365,102	\$0	0	\$0	7	\$24,535	\$24,535	\$89,728	M	1479	
11	2002-9059	Catalyst Old River Hydroelectric Limited Partnership		40 miles S of Vidalia	Concordia	\$355,420	\$14,000	\$0	0	\$0	2	\$9,915	\$12,297	\$52,637	M	4911	
12	2002-9033	Cellxion, LLC		Bossier City	Bossier	\$72,780	\$3,172	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$2,911	\$2,911	\$11,419	M	3441	
13	2002-9038	Cellxion, LLC		Bossier City	Bossier	\$18,462	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$458	\$458	\$2,897	M	3441	
14	2002-9050	Columbian Chemicals Company		Centerville	St. Mary	\$3,053,691	\$1,404,999	\$0	0	\$0	60	\$105,697	\$105,697	\$409,841	M	2895	
15	2002-9068	Conrad Shipyard, LLC.		Morgan City	St. Mary	\$2,533,140	\$281,460	\$0	0	\$0	10	\$46,198	\$46,198	\$392,890	M	3731	
16	2002-9036	Cyro Industries, Inc.		Westwego	Jefferson	\$1,676,923	\$167,218	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$6,494	\$5,499	\$241,476	M	3559G	
17	2002-9035	Cytex Industries.		Westwego	Jefferson	\$819,976	\$240,789	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$5,208	\$4,386	\$118,077	M	3559G	
18	2002-9052	Frymaster, LLC		Shreveport	Caddo	\$1,333,979	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$55,340	\$52,085	\$309,350	M	3589	
19	2002-9053	Frymaster, LLC		Shreveport	Caddo	\$1,586,116	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$39,487	\$37,166	\$367,820	M	3589	
20	2002-9063	Georgia Gulf Chemicals And Vinyls, LLC		Plaquemine	Iberville	\$1,272,826	\$798,112	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$65,869	\$65,869	\$273,778	M	2821	
21	2002-9064	Georgia Gulf Chemicals And Vinyls, LLC		Plaquemine	Iberville	\$1,889,267	\$1,470,580	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$91,365	\$91,365	\$319,975	M	2821	
22	2002-9056	Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.		Broussard	St. Martin	\$1,116,625	\$5,647	\$0	4	\$14,456,200	2	\$38,683	\$44,209	\$204,799	M	3599	
23	2002-9069	Haynes International, Inc.		Arcadia	Bienville	\$900,171	\$89,000	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$27,005	\$36,007	\$110,271	M	3498	
24	2002-9080	Honeywell		Baton Rouge	East Baton Rouge	\$2,766,735	\$340,367	\$0	0	\$0	25	\$110,682	\$93,821	\$490,301	M	2821	
25	2002-9076	Honeywell		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,328,027	\$614,180	\$0	0	\$0	105	\$150,598	\$133,865	\$696,357	M	2821	
26	2002-9077	Honeywell		Geismar	Ascension	\$2,329,346	\$672,992	\$0	0	\$0	105	\$112,332	\$99,850	\$423,029	M	2821	
27	2002-9078	Honeywell		Geismar	Ascension	\$80,144	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$3,374	\$2,999	\$11,292	M	2821	
28	2002-9089	Honeywell		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,254,101	\$686,975	\$0	0	\$0	25	\$186,399	\$165,688	\$696,198	M	2821	
29	2002-9067	Hoover Universal		Shreveport	Caddo	\$37,675	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$1,601	\$1,507	\$8,737	M	2531	
30	2002-9070	J-m Manufacturing Co, Inc.		Batchelor	Pointe Coupee	\$303,927	\$0	\$0	1	\$291,840	30	\$7,978	\$8,510	\$25,499	M	3084	
31	2002-9075	K-tec, LLC.		Prairieville	Ascension	\$212,588	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$9,566	\$8,504	\$29,954	M	3823	
32	2002-9085	Mid South Extrusion, Inc.		Monroe	Ouachita	\$465,708	\$0	\$0	7	\$2,042,888	0	\$25,614	\$18,628	\$74,327	M	3081	
33	2002-9074	Northrup Grumman Systems Corp.		Lake Charles	Calcasieu	\$773,611	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$28,456	\$23,963	\$130,818	M	3721	
34	2002-9037	Pellerin Milnor Corporation		Kenner	Jefferson	\$434,884	\$39,907	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$21,116	\$17,782	\$62,623	M	3582	
35	2002-9073	Peppers Unlimited Of Louisiana		St. Martinville	St. Martin	\$321,560	\$0	\$0	6	\$1,573,800	0	\$12,862	\$12,862	\$59,585	M	2035	
36	2002-9081	Phh Monomers, LLC.		Lake Charles	Calcasieu	\$122,679	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$5,827	\$4,907	\$20,745	M	2819	
37	2002-9082	PPG Industries, Inc., Chemical Div.		Lake Charles	Calcasieu	\$865,380	\$908,117	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$79,865	\$67,255	\$284,319	M	3812	
38	2002-9083	PPG Industries, Inc., Chemical Div.		Lake Charles	Calcasieu	\$4,598,186	\$826,084	\$0	0	\$0	11	\$175,088	\$147,422	\$917,244	M	3825	
39	2002-9084	PPG Industries, Inc.,chemical Div.		Lake Charles	Calcasieu	\$4,809,674	\$442,134	\$0	0	\$0	19	\$139,596	\$95,954	\$888,081	M	2819	
40	2002-9066	Pump Services, Inc.		West Monroe	Ouachita	\$39,000	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$2,145	\$1,560	\$6,224	M	3561	
41	2002-9088	Rapides-grant Gin, Inc.		Boyce	Rapides	\$168,218	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$6,729	\$6,729	\$30,010	M	0724	
42	2002-9048	Ruffin Building Systems, Inc.		Oak Grove	West Carroll	\$41,186	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$2,059	\$1,647	\$3,987	M	1541A	
43	2002-9095	Shell Chemical Company		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,641,481	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$57,761	\$51,343	\$653,985	M	2869	
44	2002-9099	Shell Chemical Company		Geismar	Ascension	\$764,468	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$33,328	\$29,625	\$107,714	M	2869	
45	2002-9098	Shell Chemical Company		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,733,426	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$85,773	\$76,243	\$666,940	M	2869	
46	2002-9097	Shell Chemical Company		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,816,877	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$59,439	\$52,835	\$678,698	M	2869	
47	2002-9096	Shell Chemical Company		Geismar	Ascension	\$2,194,166	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$32,080	\$28,516	\$309,158	M	2869	
48	2002-9094	Shell Chemical Company		Geismar	Ascension	\$4,457,300	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$81,022	\$72,020	\$628,034	M	2869	
49	2002-9047	Shell Chemical Company		Norco	St. Charles	\$29,000	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	6	\$473	\$473	\$3,990	M	2911	
50	2002-9046	Shell Chemical Company		Norco	St. Charles	\$1,326,109	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	430	\$15,427	\$15,427	\$233,793	M	2819	
51	2002-9045	Shell Chemical Company		Norco	St. Charles	\$4,330,075	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$83,675	\$83,675	\$76,351	M	2819	

INDUSTRIAL TAX EXEMPTIONS

Alphabetical Listing
Board of Commerce && Industry
Wednesday, April 23, 2003

Staff RECOMMENDS APPROVAL of the following MISCELLANEOUS CAPITAL ADDITIONS

Staff RECOMMENDS APPROVAL of the following MISCELLANEOUS CAPITAL ADDITIONS										ECONOMIC IMPACT			TAX RELIEF			
Appl No	Company Name	Late Filing	Location	Parish	SC Recommended	Obsolete Amount	Ineligible Amount	Perm Jobs	Permanent 10 Yr Payroll	Const Jobs	Local Sales Tax	State Sales Tax	Est'd 10Year Prop Tax Rlf	Type	SIC	
52	2002-9043		Geismar	Ascension	\$3,227,817	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$3,340	\$2,969	\$454,799	M	2869	
53	2002-9044		Geismar	Ascension	\$3,680,988	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	20	\$74,461	\$66,188	\$518,651	M	2869	
54	2002-9058		Westwego	Jefferson	\$264,254	\$35,476	\$0	0	\$0	15	\$8,512	\$7,210	\$38,053	M	2026	
55	2002-9062		Baton Rouge	East Baton Rouge	\$504,821	\$0	\$0	1	\$575,720	15	\$17,457	\$17,457	\$79,661	M	2819	
56	2002-9049		Coushatta	Red River	\$1,234,800	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	M	2392	
Applications		56	TOTALS		\$102,536,772	\$10,779,116	\$0	31	\$25,871,888	1,114	\$2,757,861	\$2,480,696	\$15,396,357			

NEW BUSINESS:

Chairman Green informed the board of an important policy & rules committee meeting to be held in the near future to approve revisions, Enterprise Zone and Quality Jobs applications, and also a major project is to be announced by the general motors plant in Shreveport requiring special rules. The June meeting will be in Baton Rouge and the August meeting will be in Lafayette. Lafayette Economic Development Authority will sponsor the meeting in Lafayette.

Mr. Montelepre suggested the Policy & Rules Committee address our fee schedule and the cost of accounting to file certain fees such as the Advance Notification fee of \$100.00. Mr. Green reminded the board of his request to Mike Williams to provide a financial report not necessarily concerning where the fees come from but where they go.

Mr. Kleinpeter suggested adding colored sheets as dividers in the agenda.

Mr. Friend inquired about the inspections report being included in the agenda. Chairman Green stated that at the last meeting, he asked Mike Williams to include the inspections report.

Mr. Montelepre commented on the packets of rule changes he has received over the years; how he chooses to file them, and the benefits. He also suggested helping the new members by providing the same. Mr. Lucas suggested waiting until after the Policy & Rules Committee meeting, when the imposed new rule changes and amendments are implemented and approved by the board.

MEETING ADJOURNED: Meeting adjourned by Chairman Green at 3:15

